

**T.C.
CUKUROVA UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE OF ADDICTION AND
FORENSIC SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF FORENSIC SCIENCES**

**EXAMINATION OF VARIOUS MIRNA MARKERS IN THE
DIFFERENTIATION OF MENSTRUAL BLOOD FROM PERIPHERAL
BLOOD**

GERTRUDE NAMULONDO

MASTER'S THESIS

SUPERVISOR

Prof. Dr. Ayşe SERİN

ADANA, 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT/THANKS

I would like to acknowledge and give my warmest thanks to all my supervisors Prof Dr. Ayse Serin, Dr. Hüsniye Canan who made this work possible. Their guidance and advice carried me through all stages of writing my project.

I would also like to thank the late Prof. Mete Korkut Gulmen for his brilliant comments and suggestion he used to give me. He really contributed much to the development towards my science career, thanks very much Prof. MHSRIP.

I would also like to thank my husband, my mother and the family for their continuous support and courage they gave me towards the completion of my project. Your prayers are what sustained me this far. Special thanks also go to my friends Mr. Charles Sserutte, Sevgi. Men, Huda and Buket, these rescued me whenever I had language barrier, thank very much dearest.

Finally, I would like to thank the Almighty God for letting me through all the difficulties.

I have experienced your guidance day by day. You are the one who has enabled me finish my master's degree. Thanks God.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my grandmother Miss Nagawa Teddy, my Children, my mother Miss Namatovu Praxeda, my husband and friends. Thank you for your unconditional support, love, guidance and encouragement throughout my education and life.

May the Almighty bless you all abundantly.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT/THANKS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF ACRONYMS	VIII
ÖZET	IX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Menstrual Blood in Forensics	1
1.2. Methods to differentiate menstrual blood from peripheral blood	1
1.3. Different techniques to identify body fluids	2
1.4. Problem statement and justification	3
2. GENERAL INFORMATION	4
2.1. The difference between peripheral and menstrual blood	4
2.2. Composition of body fluids	4
2.3. What is miRNAs.	5
2.4. The synthesis of miRNA	6
2.5 The miRNAs Analysis	7
2.6. Factors that affects forensic RNA samples	8
2.7. Other tools used in forensic science	8
2.8. Forensic body fluid markers	9
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
3.1 Study Design	10
3.2 Supplies	10
3.2.1. Sample collection	10
3.2.2. Marker selection	10
3.2.3. Chemical substances used in the experiments	11
3.2.4. Plastic and other materials used in the experiments	11
3.2.6. Equipment used during the experimental study	12
3.3. Methods	13
3.3.1. RNA Isolation using miRNeasy® mini-Kit (50)	13
3.3.2. Quantification of RNA	19
3.3.3. Reverse transcription	20

3.3.4 miRNA analysis.....	20
3.4. Summary of Laboratory work flow.....	21
3.5. Storage of samples	22
3.6. Data analysis	22
3.7. Ethical considerations	22
3.8. Confidentiality.....	22
3.9. Conflict of interest.....	22
4. RESULTS	23
4.1. Analysis of the expression of the five candidate miRNAs in five body fluids	23
4.2. A discriminant function to distinguish blood samples from non-blood samples .	27
4.3. A discriminant function to distinguish MB samples from PB samples	29
5. DISCUSSION	31
6. CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND LIMITATIONS	35
6.1 Conclusion.....	35
6.2 Recommendation and Limitations	35
APPENDIXES	36
Appendices 1	36
Appendices: 2.....	42
REFERENCE.....	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Showing components of body fluids.....	5
Table 2.2. Showing miRNA markers for different body fluids, (6, 10, 35, 37, 38).....	9
Table 3.1. Information for the miRNA markers and the internal reference gene	11
Table 3.2. Preparation of standards for RNA Quantification.....	19
Table 3.3. Components of reverse transcription reaction	20
Table 3.4. Reaction mixture of miRCURY LNA miRNA PCR assays	21
Table 4.1. Results of the analysis of the blood and non-blood samples using Z1/Z2 discriminant functions	29
Table 4.2. Results of analysis of menstrual blood and peripheral blood samples using the Y1/Y2 discriminant function.....	30

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1. Shows the synthesis of miRNA	7
Figure 4.1. (A-E): <i>The expression of candidate genes in five kinds of body fluids</i>	24
Figure 4.2. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-451 to distinguish non-blood from blood (blue circles and triangles).	26
Figure 4.3. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-144 to distinguish blood from non-blood	26
Figure 4.4. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-214, ΔCt miR-203, ΔCt miR-205 to distinguish MB from PB.	27
Figure 5.1. Diagram of the two-step analysis method	32
Figure 5.2. Diagram of the two-step analysis method	33

LIST OF ACRONYMS

EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
RT	Room temperature
ALS	Alternate light sources
AP	Acid phosphatase
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
RT-PCR	Realtime polymerase chain reaction
mRNA	messenger RNA
miRNA	microRNA
PB	Peripheral blood
MB	Menstrual blood
SA	Saliva
SE	Semen
VA	Vaginal secretion
cDNA	Complementary Deoxyribonucleic acid

ÖZET

Menstruel Kan-Periferal Kan Ayırımında Çeşitli miRNA Belirteçlerinin İncelenmesi

Olay yerinde menstruel kan, periferal kan, semen, tükürük ve vajinal sekresyon gibi vücut sıvıları yaygın olarak bulunan en önemli biyolojik örneklerdir. Bunlar bir ceza davasının niteliksel olarak analiz edilmesine, olay yerinin yeniden canlandırılmasına ve mahkeme salonu için güçlü delillerin sağlanmasına yardımcı olacak belirleyici ipuçları sağlar. Benzer şekilde, menstruel kan ile periferal kan ayırımı, bazı ceza davalarının mahiyetini anlamak için güçlü veriler sunacağından, adli vaka çalışması için hayati öneme sahiptir.

Bu çalışmada, 5 farklı vücut sıvısından oluşan toplam 96 biyolojik materyalde (21'i periferik kan, 21'i adet kanı, 20'si tükürük, 13'ü meni ve 21'i vajinal sekresyon) beş farklı miRNA'nın (miR-451, miR-144, miR-214, miR-203, miR-205) ekspresyon seviyeleri SYBR green tabanlı gerçek zamanlı PCR ile analiz edildi. Endojen kontrol olarak SNORD48 kullanıldı. Daha sonra seçilen aday belirteçlerin ekspresyon seviyeleri SPSS 22.0 ile yapılan diskriminant fonksiyon analizi ile karşılaştırılarak menstrüel kan ile periferik kan ayırımının mümkün olup olamayacağı araştırıldı.

İlk adımda kan örneklerini kan dışı örneklerden ayırmak için miR451'in delta CT değerleri bağımlı değişken olarak, kan ve kan dışı örnekler de bağımsız değişkenler olarak seçildi. İkinci adım olarak iki farklı yol izlendi. İkinci adım için seçilen yollardan birinde, periferik kanı menstruel kandan ayırt etmek için bağımlı değişkenler olarak MB ve PB, bağımsız değişkenler olarak miR-214, miR203 ve miR205 seçildi. İkinci adım için seçilen diğer yolda ise bağımsız değişken olarak miRNA'lardan yalnızca miR203 seçildi. Kan örneklerini kan dışı örneklerden ayırmak için kullanılan fonksiyon testi Z1 ve Z2; menstrüel kanı periferal kandan ayırmak için kullanılan fonksiyon testi Y1 ve Y2 olarak adlandırıldı. Takip edilen iki aşamalı yöntem ile 21 test numunesi kullanıldığında %100 doğruluk oranına ulaşıldı. Bu başarı ikinci adımda hem miR-214, miR-203 ve miR-205'in birlikte bağımsız değişken olarak kullanılması durumunda hem de değişken olarak sadece miR-203'ün kullanılması durumunda geçerliydi.

Bu sonuçlar, miR451 ve miR203'ün tek kaynak kanı menstrüel kandan ayırmada yeterli olacağını ve adli vakaların analizinde başarıyla kullanılabileceğini gösterdi. Çalışmada 5 ng RNA ile sonuç alınabildiği için az miktardaki adli örneklerde de kullanılması mümkün olmaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Adli bilim, mikroRNA, menstruel kan, ters transkripsiyon, kantitatif Gerçek Zamanlı polimeraz zincir reaksiyonu.

ABSTRACT

Examination of Various miRNA Markers in the Differentiation of Menstrual Blood from Peripheral Blood

Body fluids such as peripheral blood, menstrual blood, semen, saliva, and vaginal secretions are the most significant biological samples commonly found at the scene of a crime. These provide decisive clues to help qualitatively analyse a criminal case, restructure the crime scene, and provide strong evidence for the courtroom. Similarly, the distinction between menstrual blood and peripheral blood is vital for forensic casework, as it could provide strong evidence to figure out the nature of some criminal cases.

In this study, the relative expression levels of five different miRNAs (miR-451, miR-144, miR-205, miR-214, and miR-203) were analysed by SYBR green-based real-time PCR with 96 samples from 5 different body fluids, including 21 peripheral blood, 21 menstrual blood, 20 saliva, 13 semen, and 21 vaginal secretions. Then, it was investigated whether menstrual blood could be distinguished from peripheral blood with candidate markers selected by discriminant function analysis performed with SPSS 22.0.

In the first step, delta CT values of miR451 were selected as the dependent variable, and blood and non-blood samples were selected as the independent variables to distinguish blood samples from non-blood samples. As the second step, two different paths were followed. The first was to select miR-214, miR203, and miR205 as independent variables and MB and PB as dependent variables to distinguish peripheral blood from menstrual blood. The other way was to select only miR203 from the miRNAs as an independent variable. The first of the two function test sets used was named Z1 and Z2, to separate blood samples from non-blood samples, and the second set, named Y1 and Y2, was used to separate menstrual blood from peripheral blood. The two-step method was followed, and a 100% accuracy rate was achieved when 21 test samples were used. This success was valid in the second step both when miR-214, miR-203, and miR-205 were used as variables and when only 203 was used as a variable.

These results indicated that miR451 and miR203 would be sufficient to distinguish single source blood from menstrual blood and could be used successfully in the analysis of forensic cases. Since results can be obtained with 5 ng of RNA in the study, it is possible to use it in small amounts in forensic samples.

Keywords: Forensic science, microRNA, menstrual blood, reverse transcription, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Menstrual Blood in Forensics

Body fluids are the most and significant biological samples commonly found at the scene of crime, (1). The commonly body fluids found at crime scenes comprise of the peripheral blood (PB), menstrual blood (MB), saliva (SA), semen (SE) and vaginal secretion (VS). These samples provide decisive clues to help qualitatively analyse a criminal case, restructure the crime scene and provide strong evidence for the courtroom, (2).

At the scene of crime, blood is considered to be the mostly common and significant sample and visually distinguished easily from the other fluids. Nevertheless, whether blood samples belong to PB or MB, it is very important issue in forensic science, (1) since it can provide evidence especially in cases such as sexual assault, female disappearance, and some injuries where female victims may have haematuria due to a violent assault, (1). Therefore, it is crucial, for an investigator to determine whether the blood cell source in the urine is contaminated by MB, (3).

1.2. Methods to differentiate menstrual blood from peripheral blood

Menstrual blood is a complex body fluid that comprehends peripheral blood, old uterine tissue, cells from the mucus lining of the vagina, matrix degradation factors involved in the onset of menses, and bacteria from the vaginal flora, (4, 5). Menstrual blood does not contain an active fibrinolytic system thus it does not coagulate like robust peripheral blood, (3).

So, the ability to identify menstrual blood in forensics is vital for crime reconstruction, determine the nature and severity of the case especially in sexual assaults and the following are some techniques that have been used to differentiate menstrual from peripheral blood.

Initially, microscopic methods were performed to differentiate peripheral blood from menstrual blood basing on the identification of either endometrial or vaginal epithelial cells in the menstrual blood, (6). Nevertheless, this technique had low discriminatory power hence proven precluded in cases of sexual assault since epithelial

cells from the buccal cavity and male urethra cannot be distinguished from vaginal epithelial cells, (6).

Microscopy analysis and the Teichmann test was used to distinguish between peripheral blood and menstrual blood using a combination of haemoglobin content, red blood cells and white blood cell count, (7). It was found that the Teichmann test was a confirmatory blood test based on the formation of haematin crystals observed under a microscope, (6).

Menstrual blood tested negative using the Teichmann test because menstrual secretions interfered with crystal formation. Menstrual blood also had less red blood cells and white blood cells and more debris compared to peripheral blood when viewed under a microscope, (7).

The immunochromatographic method is another technique used to differentiate menstrual from peripheral blood. This technique uses the D-dimer assay to identify menstrual blood.

The D-dimers are produced during fibrinolysis, the process of breaking down fibrin in blood clots. Fibrinolysis prevents blood coagulation in order for menstrual blood to easily exodus the body, (6). The mean plasma concentration of D-dimers in peripheral blood is 0.047 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ compared to 102 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in menstrual blood demonstrating that D-dimers are present in menstrual blood at levels that are 200 times than that of peripheral blood, (8, 9).

1.3. Different techniques to identify body fluids

Previously, the normal analysis of forensic cases involved the preliminary screening of evident materials obtained during the investigation of criminal offenses was purposely to identify the presence, and possible tissue origin of biological material. These biological materials exhibited the location of potential sources of DNA that, once recovered, could be used to find the donor of the biological material, (10).

Originally, different conventional methods techniques such as immunological, chemical and enzymatic have been applied recently in identifying menstrual blood and other body fluids in forensics. These methods have been performed in a serial manner, with a portion of the stain being tested for only one body fluid at a time.

Normally, it was mandatory to perform multiple tests first to presumptively identify the presence of biological fluids, then, followed by additional testing to confirm the presence of the fluid or identify the species of origin, (10).

These methods differed greatly in terms of sensitivity and specificity, costly in terms of time and labour, and also a large amounts of sample material required to perform the assays hence becoming disadvantageously, (10, 11). It is also documented that, none of the routinely used serological and immunological tests can definitely identify the presence of human saliva or vaginal secretions, (10). Therefore, this created a very big gap in the field of forensics, and other approaches such as use of microRNA (miRNA) that could use less samples and stable had to be explored and hence found confirmed as ideal biomarker for body fluid identification, (3, 11). This approach is specific, labour-efficient and it is not time costly however, it is extremely expensive.

The Raman spectroscopy and messenger RNA (mRNA) profiling is another approach currently used in the forensic laboratories to identify trace evidence materials such as drugs, fibres, paint, and ink, (12, 13, 14). However, this method has not been extensively explored for use with biological samples, (15).

1.4. Problem statement and justification

In criminal cases such as sexual assault and murder, blood has been confirmed as the most frequently encountered in crime scenes, and it faces the influence of physical, chemical and biological factors once it has left the human body, (16).

Several body fluid stains are either invisible to the naked eyes or similar in appearance with other body fluids at the crime scene which creates very tough situation for a forensic investigator. Therefore, there is a need for absolute confirmation in order for the evidence to be applied to either prove or disprove a fact of a case, (17). Our study examined various miRNA markers in the differentiation of peripheral blood from menstrual blood. The different miRNAs used included miR-451, miR-144, miR-205, miR-214, and miR- 203, plus SNORD-48 as our reference gene using a RT-PCR to achieve the study objectives. Thus, the findings from our study will assist the forensic investigator to provide the evidence to courts of law on which they will base to draw the judgement.

2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1. The difference between peripheral and menstrual blood

Peripheral blood is a constantly circulating fluid that provides the body with nutrition, oxygen and waste removal. While menstrual blood is a complex biological fluid consisting of blood, vaginal secretions, and the endometrial cells of the uterine wall as they leave immediately prior to menses. Menstruation is the process of excluding of the endometrial lining of the uterus following a nearly month-long preparation for embryo implantation and pregnancy, (18).

Identification of menstrual blood from peripheral blood is critical especially in crime reconstruction, determination of the nature and severity of the case in criminal cases such as sexual assault, (19). Blood is a body fluid that often means traumatic injury but menstrual blood is the blood that does not coagulate like robust peripheral blood since it lacks active fibrinolytic system, (3). Menstrual blood appears more often in sexual assaults, (20).

2.2. Composition of body fluids

The Common body fluids identified at the scene of crime include peripheral blood (PB), menstrual blood (MB), saliva (SA), semen (SE) and vaginal secretion (VS). Their discovery and identification in forensics give vital evidence to help qualitatively investigate criminal cases, rebuild crime scene and provide stout evidence for courtroom, (2).

Blood samples have been considered the most frequently and extensively explored among the body fluids found at the crime scene. However, whether blood samples belong to peripheral or menstrual blood remains an important issue in forensic science, (1) .

The determination of the presence of the body fluid allows the sample to undergo further laboratory testing such as DNA analysis which is a very critical step in a wide range of investigations, (17). Each body fluid has an exclusive composition, and the presence of specific components in one fluid versus another is the basis of its identification, (21).

There are numerous components that are shared among more than one fluid, but it is the difference in relative contribution which brands tests for these components effective. For instance, saliva contains a large amount of amylase compared to what is found in semen and vaginal fluid. Also, the ratio of citrate to lactate when comparing semen and vaginal fluid showing the major difference, (17, 22).

Table 2.1. Showing components of body fluids

Semen	Blood	Vaginal fluid	Saliva
● Acid phosphate ● Prostate specific antigen ● Spermatozoa ● Choline ● Spermine ● Zinc ● Semenogelin ● Urea ● Fructose ● Ascorbic acid ● Lactic acid ● Immunoglobulins	● Haemoglobin ● Fibrinogen ● Erythrocytes ● Albumin ● Immunoglobulins	● Acid phosphate ● Lactic acid ● Citric acid ● Urea ● Vaginal peptidase ● Glycogenated epithelial cells ● Acetic acid ● Pyridine ● Squalene ● Immunoglobulins	● Amylase ● Lysozyme ● Mucin ● Buccal epithelial cells ● Thiocyanate ● Potassium ● Bicarbonate ● Phosphorus ● Immunoglobins

2.3. What is miRNAs.

The miRNAs are small, single-stranded, non-coding RNAs of 18 to 24 nucleotides in length, well conserved in eukaryotic organisms, (23). The miRNAs are have considered as a viable tool for body fluid identification in forensic casework in recent years because

of their short size, and are particularly valuable for degraded samples or complex mixtures, (3, 16, 23).

The miRNAs are employed or used to solve problems such as identification of body fluid sources, (24), inference of death or injury time, (25), analysis of the cause of death, (26), identification of mental illness and age inference, (27). They can act at the post-transcriptional level and regulate the expression of many genes in various biological processes, binding complementary sequences of mRNA target and silencing them via degradation through mRNA cleavage or by preventing protein synthesis, (23).

2.4. The synthesis of miRNA

The miRNA are single-stranded RNA molecules with an average of 22 nucleotides in length. miRNAs are produced from double-stranded RNA hairpin precursors (the primary miRNA or pri-miRNA) through a multi-step maturation process, (28). These miRNA precursors are characteristically found in clusters, most commonly within intronic regions of protein-coding genes and intergenic regions of the genome, (29).

The pri-miRNA is frequently more than 1000 nucleotides in length and comprises of a 60–120 nucleotide RNA hairpin which is cleaved by the enzyme Drosha in the nucleus of the cell, to generate the precursor-miRNA (pre-miRNA).

The Pre-miRNAs are then exported to the cytoplasm via the exportin-5 protein, where they are cleaved by Dicer enzyme to form mature miRNA, (29). Mature miRNA binds to Argonaute proteins to form the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) which functions to prevent the translation of target messenger RNA (mRNA), (30).

The miRNA in the RISC binds to a 13–16 nucleotide sequence in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) region of one or more target mRNAs.

Usually, the 2nd–8th nucleotides (the seed sequence) of the mRNA have perfect complementarity to the miRNA in animal cells, (31). The binding of the miRNA-RISC to the mRNA causes the inhibition of translation and also accelerates the deadenylation of the mRNA polyA tail, resulting in earlier degradation of the mRNA, (29).

Thus, miRNAs are able to fine-tune gene expression at the post-transcriptional level.

One miRNA can potentially interact with several mRNAs and the same mRNA may be targeted by many different miRNAs. Thus, miRNAs and mRNAs form complex networks of gene regulation, (32).




Figure 2.1. Shows the synthesis of miRNA

2.5 The miRNAs Analysis

The miRNAs analysis is done with profiling methods such as microarray or next generation sequencing (NGS) techniques and this allows simultaneous detection of even hundreds of low copy number miRNAs in a single experiment, (33).

When few designated miRNAs are analysed, the assay is performed by quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) and shows an increased sensitivity and reproducibility. To reduce technical variations introduced during the experimental

procedure, the target miRNA expressed is always normalized to a stable internal control, measured in the same sample at the same time. Also, an ideal internal control is expressed at a constant level, not dependent on biological variations, with length and expression range similar to the target miRNA, (23).

2.6. Factors that affects forensic RNA samples

RNA profiling has undergone vast progress in numerous fields of forensic science, such as identification of body fluid, wound age determination, and post-mortem interval (PMI) assessment, (23). Forensic samples such as blood are faced with many factors once it has oozed out of the body. There are many factors that can affect RNA.

These factors include; high humidity, temperature and rain. They can cause robust destructive effects on these samples hence causing a highly reduced miRNA content, (34). Rain has adverse effect on RNAs stability and accelerates its degradation rate, (35).

Therefore, the increased destruction of proteins and DNA leads to difficulty identification of individual samples. Other environmental factors that have always encountered RNA may include; heat and UV light, (2).

2.7. Other tools used in forensic science

At the scene of crime, there are many biological traces of human origin that are found and these can provide physical evidence or connect the suspect to the criminal offense or to vindicate an innocent person. Thus, various conventional presumptive tests such as chemical, enzymatic or immunological reactions have been performed routinely to isolate and analyse trace DNA to generate an individual-specific STR profile and, also to explicitly confirm the biological origin of this DNA for instance whether the recovered DNA stain is from peripheral or menstrual blood. The latter can help to clarify the circumstances of a criminal act, (36).

To a sad note, these tests have a disadvantage regarding the sensitivity and specificity resulting to destruction or complete consumption of valuable stain material, and an infirmity to recognize certain kinds of body fluids such as vaginal secretions, (36).

2.8. Forensic body fluid markers

The miRNA plays a role in the body fluid identification in forensics. In forensic settings, miRNA profiling renders mature miRNAs decidedly less susceptible against fractionation by chemical or physical strain, (37). Different body fluids such as semen, saliva, urine, peripheral blood, menstrual blood, and vaginal secretions encountered at the crime scene have different miRNA markers with the application of reference tools as stated below:

Table 2.2. Showing miRNA markers for different body fluids, (6, 10, 35, 37, 38).

Body fluid	miRNA
Blood	miR-451, miR-16, miR-200b, miR-20a, miR-185, miR-205, miR-214, and miR-203
Saliva	miR-658, miR-205, miR- 583, miR-518, miR-208b and miR-26b
Menstrual blood	miR-451, miR-412, miR-185, miR-144, miR-1246, miR-205, miR-214, and miR-203
Semen	MiR-135b, miR-10b, miR-943, miR-135a miR-10a, miR-891a
Vaginal secretions	MiR-451, miR-412, miR-185, miR-144
Reference genes:	RNU6b, SNORD 48, SNORD 47, SNORD 44, beta-2microglobulin and let-7g

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study Design

In our study, 96 samples were randomly selected from the participants following an approval from Çukurova University Ethics committee (Decision date and number: 2022.09.16/125-43). This study was carried out with the financial support of the research project of Cukurova University.

3.2 Supplies

3.2.1. Sample collection

96 samples were collected from volunteers of varying age and gender (between 20–40 years old). The samples included 21 peripheral blood (PB), 21 menstrual blood (MB), 20 saliva (SA), 20 vaginal secretions (VS) and 14 semen (SE). 51 of a total of 96 (10 PB, 11 MB, 11 SA, 11 VS and 8 SE) were used as training samples and the remaining 45 samples were used as test samples.

About 1 mL of peripheral blood samples were collected using vein puncture (the area was sterilized with an alcohol wipe and blood was collected using a needle and a syringe), then blood was drawn into a labelled EDTA vacutainers. The menstrual blood samples were collected by the female donors themselves using sterile cotton swabs. But for menstrual samples, day 2 or 3 was most significant sample. The saliva and semen samples were also collected in sterile clean containers by the volunteers themselves.

3.2.2. Marker selection

Five miRNA markers (miR-451, miR144, miR-214, miR- 203, and miR-205,) were selected based on previous studies, (1). SNORD48 was used as an internal reference gene, (38). The information for each miRNA and the internal reference gene is shown below in **Table 3**

Table 3.1. Information for the miRNA markers and the internal reference gene

miRNA	Mature miRNA sequence	miRbase	<u>Gene Globe ID</u>
hsa-miR-451	AAACCGUUACCAUUACUGA GUU	MIMAT00016 31	YP02119305
hsa-miR-144	5'UACAGUAUAGAUGAUGUA CU	MIMAT00004 36	YP00204754
has-miR-214- 3p	5'ACAGCAGGCACAGACAGGC AGU	MIMAT00002 71	YP00204510
has-miR- 203a-3p	5'GUGAAAUGUUUAGGACCA CUAG	MIMAT00002 64	YP00205914
has-miR-205- 5p	5'UCCUUCAUUCCACCGGAGU CUG	MIMAT00002 66	YP00204487
SNORD48	3'GATGACCCCAGGTA ACTCT GAGTGTGTCGCTGATGCCAT CACCGCAGCGCTCTGACC	NR_002745.1 (NCBI reference)	YP00203903

3.2.3. Chemical substances used in the experiments

- ❖ miRNeasy® Mini Kit (50) QIAGEN
- ❖ miRCURY LNA™ SYBR, Green PCR Kit (600) QIAGEN
- ❖ miRCURY LNA™ RT Kit QIAGEN
- ❖ Quant-iT™ RNA Assay Kit (5-100ng) Invitrogen
- ❖ Buffer EL-Erythrocyte Lysis Buffer (QIAGEN)
- ❖ Absolute ethanol (Merck)
- ❖ Chloroform (Merck)

3.2.4. Plastic and other materials used in the experiments

- ❖ Sterile Eppendorf tubes: (0.2, 0.5 and 1.5 mls)
- ❖ Pipette tips (10, 100, 200 and 1000µl)

- ❖ Eppendorf pipette (10, 100, 200 and 1000µl)
- ❖ Racks
- ❖ Ice mold containers
- ❖ Gauze
- ❖ Gloves
- ❖ Sterile plain swabs
- ❖ Optical adhesive film

3.2.6. Equipment used during the experimental study

VortexV-1 plus: a perfect instrument for gentle mixing to vigorous resuspension of cells, biological and chemical liquid components in tubes using eccentric mechanism. It has fluoroplastic head for single tube (0.2 – 50 ml) vertexing. It works by connecting the external power supply to the main switch and then holding the sample tube gently by its upper part, and press the lower part to the vortex head.

When it is running or rotating, try as much as possible to control the intensity of shaking by varying applied pressure.

Qubit Fluorimeter, Invitrogen:

It was for quantitation of RNA with highly sensitive and accurate fluorescence-based Qubit™ quantitation assays.



7500 Real Time PCR (Applied Biosystems):

The Real-Time PCR System enables high-speed thermal cycling which runs many times for quantitative real-time PCR applications of fewer than 40 minutes. The

system is an open platform and can be used to analyze samples using custom made or COTs-based PCR assays.

The 7500 RT-PCR can analyse a batch of 96 samples per run. It is used for gene expression analysis, pathogen quantitation, SNP genotyping, isothermal and +/- assays utilizing internal positive controls.

Thermal Cycler device (Applied Biosystems)

Thermal cyclers sustain and regulate exact temperatures following pre-programmed settings to facilitate temperature-sensitive reactions such as the PCR.

Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences):

The centrifuge uses centrifugal forces to separate various components of a fluid. This is always achieved by spinning the fluids at a very high speed within the container hence separating the fluids of different densities.

Deep freezer (Bosch):

The deep freezer plays a vital role of storing reagents, frozen vaccines and other temperature sensitive specimen for research purposes that need to be stored at -20°C.

3.3. Methods

3.3.1. RNA Isolation using miRNeasy® mini-Kit (50)

The following steps were followed during the isolation of RNA on 96 samples of peripheral, menstrual blood, vaginal secretions, saliva and semen to achieve the study objectives.

Peripheral Blood (RNA extraction procedure)

- ❖ 100µl of whole blood was pipetted from the EDTA tubes into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes

- ❖ To the sample, 500µl of buffer EL (erythrocyte lysis buffer) was added, followed by incubation on ice on the bench and vortexing the sample for around 20 minutes.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 400g at 4°C for 10 minutes.
- ❖ The supernatant was poured off, and then 350µl of buffer EL was added to the samples. This was followed by vortexing the samples for 10 seconds, and then centrifuging the samples at 400g for 10 minutes at 4°C.
- ❖ Supernatant was poured off, and 700µl of Qiazol® Lysis buffer was added to the samples, followed by vortexing for 5 minutes. The samples were incubated on the bench at room temperature for 5 minutes.
- ❖ Then, 140µl of chloroform was added to the samples, then shaken for 3 minutes for proper mixture.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged at 12000g for 15 minutes at 4°C so that 3 phases were obtained. Upper phase is RNA.
- ❖ Then, 350µl of the sample (RNA) was pipetted off into another labelled microcentrifuge tubes, and then, 525µl of absolute ethanol was added to the samples, mixing the samples up and down by pipetting.
- ❖ Then, 700µl of the sample were pipetted into the labelled supplied filtered column tubes.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged at 8000g room temperature for 10-15 seconds, and then the supernatant will be poured off.
- ❖ After, 700µl of RWT (optional) wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off.
- ❖ Again, 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 2 minutes at room temperature and supernatant will be poured.
- ❖ Then, the samples were centrifuged at 12000g for 1 minute. Then, finally 30µl of RNease free water was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 1 minute. Then, the supernatant will be poured.

Menstrual Blood (RNA extraction procedure)

- ❖ The swab sample was put into a labelled microcentrifuge tube.

- ❖ (500 EL lysis reagent was added to the samples and then vortexed for 10 seconds.
- ❖ To the sample, 500µl of buffer EL (erythrocyte lysis buffer) was added, followed by incubation on ice on the bench for around 20 minutes
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 400g at 4°C.
- ❖ The supernatant was poured off, and then 350µl of buffer EL was added to the samples. This was followed by vortexing the samples for 10 seconds, and then centrifuging the samples at 400g for 10 minutes at 4°C.
- ❖ The supernatant was poured off
- ❖ Then, 700µl Quiazol lysis buffer reagent was added to the samples.
- ❖ The samples were then vortexed for 1 minute
- ❖ The samples were left to incubate on bench at RT for 5 minutes.
- ❖ 140µl of chloroform was added to the samples for separation into phases.
- ❖ The samples were shaken well for about 3 minutes to have a uniform mixture.
- ❖ The samples were then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12000g at 4°C.
- ❖ 350µl of the upper phase (RNA) was then pipetted off to new labelled microcentrifuge tubes.
- ❖ Then, 525µl of absolute ethanol was added to the samples and mixed well by up and down pipetting.
- ❖ Then, 700µl of the sample was transferred into labelled column tubes.
- ❖ The samples were then centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g at 4°C.
- ❖ The supernatant was poured off
- ❖ 700µl RWT wash buffer was added to the samples and again centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g at 4°C and the supernatant was poured.
- ❖ Then 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off
- ❖ Again, 500µl RPE was added to the samples and then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 8000g at 4°C and then the supernatant was poured off.
- ❖ The samples were again centrifuged at 20000g for 1 minute to remove excess alcohol.
- ❖ Then, finally 30µl of RNase free water was added to the samples and incubated for 1 minute at RT, centrifuged at 8000g for 1 minute.

Saliva (RNA extraction procedure)

- ❖ 100 µl saliva sample was collected in a RNase-free eppendorf tubes.
- ❖ To the sample, 700 µl of QIAzol lysis reagent (Qiagen) was added to extract RNA
- ❖ The samples were briefly vortex-mixed and incubated for 5 min at room temperature.
- ❖ 140µl chloroform was added to the Eppendorf tube samples, then shaken for three minutes for proper mixture.
- ❖ The samples were then centrifuged at 12000g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The upper phase is now the RNA.
- ❖ Then, 350µl of sample (RNA) was pipetted off into another labelled microcentrifuge tubes, and then, 525µl of absolute ethanol was added to the samples, mixing the samples up and down by pipetting.
- ❖ Then, 700µl of the samples was pipetted into the labelled supplied filtered column tubes.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged at 8000g room temperature for 10-15 seconds, and then the supernatant was poured off.
- ❖ After, 700µl of RWT (optional) wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off.
- ❖ Then 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off
- ❖ Again, 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 2 minutes at room temperature and supernatant will be poured.
- ❖ The samples were again centrifuged at 20000g for 1 minute to remove excess alcohol
- ❖ Then, finally 30µl of RNease free water was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 1 minute

Vaginal Secretions (RNA extraction procedure)

- ❖ Using scalpel blade, cut the sterile swab sample and place it into a labelled Eppendorf tube.

- ❖ Add 700µl QIAzol lysis buffer reagent to the sample, and then leave the samples at room temperature for 5 minutes to allow incubation.
- ❖ Then, to the samples, add 140µl chloroform, then mix samples well for about 3 minutes to have a uniform mixture.
- ❖ Then, centrifuge the samples for 15 minutes at 12000g at 4°C to have 3 separate phases: an upper, colourless, aqueous phase containing RNA; a white interphase; and a lower, red, organic phase. *(NB: the centrifuge can be re warmed if it is to be used for the next steps).*
- ❖ Then, pipette off 350µl of the upper phase (containing RNA) into labelled microcentrifuge tubes.
- ❖ To the samples, then add 525µl of absolute ethanol and mix thoroughly by pipetting up and down several times.
- ❖ Then, pipette 700µl of the sample into an RNeasy Mini spin column tubes. Close the lid of the column tubes gently.
- ❖ Then, centrifuge the samples at 8000g for 15 seconds at room temperature.
- ❖ After, the spinning of the samples, the flow through is discarded.
- ❖ After, 700µl of RWT (optional) wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off.
 - ❖ Add 500µl Buffer RPE to the samples, close the lid gently and centrifuge for 15 seconds at 8000g at 4°C. After, pour off the supernatant.
 - ❖ Again, 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 2 minutes at room temperature and supernatant will be poured.
 - ❖ The samples were again centrifuged at 20000g for 1 minute to remove excess alcohol
- ❖ Transfer the RNeasy Mini spin column to new microcentrifuge labelled tube, then, pipette 30 µl RNase-free water to the samples and close the lid tightly.
- ❖ Incubate then samples for one 1 minute at room temperature on bench.
- ❖ Centrifuge the samples for 1 minute at 8000g.
- ❖ Then, finally discard the RNeasy mini spin column tubes since the samples are already in the microcentrifuge tubes.

Semen (RNA extraction procedure)

- ❖ 100 µl semen sample was put into a labelled microcentrifuge tube
- ❖ To the sample, 700µl of QIAzol lysis reagent (Qiagen) was added to extract RNA
- ❖ The samples were briefly vortex-mixed and incubated for 5 min at room temperature on.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 400g at 4°C for 10 minutes and the supernatant was poured off.
- ❖ 140µl chloroform was added to the Eppendorf tube samples, then shaken for three minutes for proper mixture.
- ❖ The samples were then centrifuged at 12000g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The upper phase is now the RNA.
- ❖ Then, 350µl of sample (RNA) was pipetted off into another labelled microcentrifuge tubes, and then, 525µl of an absolute ethanol was added to the samples, mixing the samples up and down by pipetting.
- ❖ Then, 700µl of the samples was pipetted into the labelled supplied filtered column tubes.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged at 8000g room temperature for 10-15 seconds, and then the supernatant was poured off.
- ❖ After, 700µl of RWT (optional) wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off.
- ❖ Then 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples centrifuged for 15 seconds at 8000g and supernatant poured off
- ❖ Again, 500µl of RPE wash buffer was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 2 minutes at room temperature and supernatant will be poured.
- ❖ The samples were centrifuged at 20000g for 1 minute to remove excess alcohol
- ❖ Then, finally 30µl of RNease free water was added to the samples, centrifuged at 8000g for 1 minute and then, the supernatant will be poured.

3.3.2. Quantification of RNA

Our study samples for RNA were quantified using the Quant-IT RNA buffer and the RNA reagent, following the manufacture's protocol (Quant-iT™ RNA Assay Kit).

Preparation for the standard

N.B For quantification of RNA using the Quant-iT™RNA Assay kit, two standards for calibration of the Qubit® Fluorometer were required

A dilution of 0ng/μl *E. coli* (Standard1) and 10ng/μl *E. coli* rRNA from component C (Standard2) was performed as shown in the table below:

Table 3.2. Preparation of standards for RNA Quantification

	Standard assay tubes	User Sample assay tubes
Volume of working solution	190 μl	198 μl
Volume of Standard (from kit)	10 μl	-
Volume of User Sample to add	-	2 μl
Total volume of each assay tube	200μl	200 μl

Preparation of the working solution

- ❖ To each sample, put 199μl of the Quant-IT RNA buffer.
- ❖ Add 1μl RNA reagent to each sample.
- ❖ Then, pipette 198μl of the working solution to a new labelled microcentrifuge tube, and then add 2μl of the sample to it.
- ❖ Vortex the samples for the at least 2 minutes at room temperature.
- ❖ Then, the sample was put into the Qubit fluorometer and the concentration was recorded.

3.3.3. Reverse transcription

The reverse transcription was done on the samples purposely to quantify the number of miRNAs present in the RNA extracts using the miRCURY LNA RT Kit while following the manufacturer's instructions.

Table 3.3. Components of reverse transcription reaction

Components of miRCURY LNA RT kit		
Number	Component	Amount
1	5 x miRCURY RT reaction Buffer	2 μ l
2	RNase free water	4.5 μ l
3	10x miRCURY RT Enzyme	1 μ l
4	Synthetic RNA spike-ins, optional	0.5 μ l
5	Template RNA (5ng/ μ l)	2 μ l
Total reaction volume		10 μ l

Then, Reverse transcription quantitative PCR was performed with 10 μ l to each sample following the manufacturer's protocol using the Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied biosystems).

The incubation for 60 minutes was at 42°C, and then 95°C for five minutes.

3.3.4 miRNA analysis

miRCURYLNA miRNA PCR assay was used in the miRNA analysis

Procedure


- ❖ Initially, cDNA was diluted 1:60 by adding 59 μ l RNase free water to the 1 μ l RT reaction.
- ❖ The cocktail or working solution was prepared as shown in (**table 6**) below.

- ❖ 7 μ l of the working solution was put into the plate wells
- ❖ 3 μ l of the cDNA was added to the wells
- ❖ Plate was centrifuged well for 5 seconds for proper mixing
- ❖ Then, the plate well was sealed properly with Optical adhesive film.
- ❖ The well sample was then taken to the RT- PCR to run for 2 hours.

Table 3.4. Reaction mixture of miRCURY LNA miRNA PCR assays

Compound	Volume
2x miRCURY SYBR® Green Master Mix	5 μ l
ROX Reference Dye (ABI instruments only)	0.05 μ l
Resuspended PCR primer mix	1 μ l
cDNA template	3 μ l
RNase free water	1 μ l
Total reaction water	10 μ l

3.4. Summary of Laboratory work flow



3.5. Storage of samples

All the above liquid samples were stored directly at - 80°C for preservation, (1). Forensic sample materials should be stored well. For instance, blood-stained samples can be stored at -20 C, low humidity if storage is for a long period of time. However, it has been realised that the long-term storage causes minor changes in the levels of individual miRNAs (16).

3.6. Data analysis

To calculate the relative miRNA expression, we used the delta Ct (ΔCt) method: $\Delta Ct = Ct (miRNA) - Ct (SNORD 48)$. The SNORD48 reference gene was used as an endogenous control to normalise the data to ensure that the results are scientific and reasonable. Statistical analyses, including two-sample t tests, Mann–Whitney U tests was performed using SPSS 22.0, and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant at 95% confidential interval. Further statistical analyses also included discriminant function analysis.

3.7. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval to conduct this study was obtained from Legal Medicine department, Cukurova University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews. Participants were assured of their anonymity and confidentiality. The right to withdraw at any time and moral commitments was explained in all interviews.

3.8. Confidentiality

We used codes for the study participants instead of their names and findings / results were not disclosed to any unauthorized personnel.

3.9. Conflict of interest

There was no conflict of interest in this work.

4. RESULTS

We examined the expression levels of five different miRNAs (miR-451a, miR-144, miR-214-3p, miRNA-203, and miR-205) and SNORD-48 (reference gene) to differentiate peripheral blood from menstrual blood.

4.1. Analysis of the expression of the five candidate miRNAs in five body fluids

Analysis of the expression of the five candidate miRNAs in five body fluids included 10 PB samples, 11 MB samples, 11 SA samples, 11 VS samples, and 8 SE samples.

Figure 4.1: (A-E): The CT values of each miRNA in all studied samples are given in appendix 1.




Figure 4.1. (A-E): The expression of candidate genes in five kinds of body fluids

Ordinate: Relative expression of microRNA Ct values. **Abscissa:** PB: Peripheral blood; MB: Menstrual blood; SA: Saliva; VS: Vaginal secretion SE: Semen;

The miR-451 expression was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) between blood samples and non-blood samples. MiR-451 was highly expressed in both the PB and MB samples but showed almost no expression or very low expression in the three non-blood samples.

On the other hand, in addition to the high expression of miR144 in PB and MB, it is also expressed in SA, VS and SE samples, albeit at lower levels. (Fig 4.1, B


As seen in Fig 4.: from C to E, the expression levels of three miRNAs (miR-214, miR-203, miR-205) in PB and MB were significantly different and much higher in MB than in PB ($p < 0.05$). Detailed analysis procedures and results are shown in **Appendices 2**.

The ΔC_t values were used to generate two-dimensional (2D) scatter plots to determine whether these miRNAs showed differential expression between blood and nonblood samples and between MB and PB. Those plots were presented in Fig 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.


Figure 4. 2: (A-D): Expression of the five miRNAs (miR-451, miR-144, miR-214, miR-203, miR-205) in all samples from the training set. The ΔC_t values were used to generate two-dimensional (2D) scatter plots, and also to determine whether these miRNAs exhibited differential expression between MB and PB.

According to the expression data shown in **Figure 4.2: A-C**, the MB samples were evidently separated from the PB samples by the distinct clustering of the two different blood samples in each miRNA pair. This meant that, the levels of miRNAs were higher in MB than in PB.

A



B



C





Figure 4.2. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-451 to distinguish non-blood from blood (blue circles and triangles).

a A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR- 451 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-144 (abscissa). b A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-451 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-214 (abscissa). c A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-451 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-203 (abscissa).






Figure 4.3. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-144 to distinguish blood from non-blood

(a). A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR- 144 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-214 (abscissa). (b). A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-144 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-203 (abscissa). (c). A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-144 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-205 (abscissa)

The body fluid samples shown included 21 MB samples and 21 PB samples from the sample set, and the expression of three miRNAs (miR-205, miR-214, miR-203) in

MB and PB is also shown in Fig. 4.4: The ΔCt values were used to generate two-dimensional (2D) scatter plots to determine whether these miRNAs showed differential expression between MB and PB. According to the expression data shown in Fig. 4:4 (a-c), the MB samples were clearly separated from the PB samples by the distinct clustering of the two different blood samples in each miRNA pair, suggesting that these miRNAs had higher levels in MB than in PB.




Figure 4.4. Scatter plots of ΔCt miR-214, ΔCt miR-203, ΔCt miR-205 to distinguish MB from PB.

(a) A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR- 214 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-203 (abscissa). (b) A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-214 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-2205 (abscissa). (c) A two-dimensional scatter plot consisting of ΔCt miR-203 (ordinate) and ΔCt miR-205(abscissa).

4.2. A discriminant function to distinguish blood samples from non-blood samples

The discriminant function analysis to predict the group affiliations of unknown samples obtained by a training set of 51 samples was applied to the data subsets, with the ΔCt values of miR-451 as independent variables and the blood samples and non-blood samples as dependent variables.

The following results for the blood samples and non-blood samples were obtained:

$$Z1 = x - 1.225\Delta Ct*(miR-451) - 6.251;$$

$$Z2 = 0.353*\Delta Ct(miR-451) - 1.154.$$

When the ΔCt values of miR-451 are placed into the functions, if $Z1 > Z2$, the unknown sample was classified as a blood sample, and if $Z1 < Z2$, the sample was classified as a non-blood sample. The accuracy rate of the function in the data subset was

100%. The accuracy of the function was validated by using test samples (n=45), and 100% accuracy for the blood and 95.9% accuracy for the non-blood were obtained, as shown in **Table 4.1** below

Detailed analysis procedures and results are shown in **Appendices 3**.



Table 4.1. Results of the analysis of the blood and non-blood samples using Z1/Z2 discriminant functions

Sample set	Sample type	Prediction classification		
		Blood sample	Non-blood sample	Accuracy rate
Training set (n=51)	Blood sample (n=21)	21	0	100%
	Non-blood sample (n=30)	0	30	100%
Test set (n=45)	Blood sample (n=21)	21	0	100%
	Non-blood sample (n=24)	1	23	95.9%

4.3. A discriminant function to distinguish MB samples from PB samples

A training set of 21 samples was used to establish the discriminant function analysis, with the ΔC_t values for miR-203, miR-205 and miR-214 as independent variables and MB samples and PB samples as dependent variables.

The following results for the MB samples and PB samples were obtained:

$$Y1 = 0.601 * \Delta C_t (miR-214) + 1.077 * \Delta C_t (miR-203) + 0.522 * \Delta C_t (miR-205) - 6.674;$$

$$Y2 = 0.661 * \Delta C_t (miR-214) + 0.187 * \Delta C_t (miR-203) + 0.878 * \Delta C_t (miR-205) - 2.457.$$

When the ΔC_t values for miR-203, miR-205 and miR-214 were placed into the functions, if $Y1 > Y2$, the unknown sample was classified as a PB sample, and if $Y1 < Y2$, the sample was classified as an MB sample. The accuracy rate of the function for the data subset was 100%.

The accuracy of the function was validated by using test samples (n=21), and 100% accuracy was obtained, as shown in **Table 4.2** below.

Table 4.2. Results of analysis of menstrual blood and peripheral blood samples using the Y1/Y2 discriminant function

Sample set	Sample type	Prediction classification		
		Menstrual blood	Peripheral blood	Accuracy rate
Training set (n=21)	Menstrual blood (n=11)	11	0	100%
	Peripheral blood (n=10)	0	10	100%
Test set (n=21)	Menstrual blood (n=10)	10	0	100%
	Peripheral blood (n=11)	0	11	100%

A training set of 21 samples was used to establish the discriminant function analysis, with the ΔCt values for miR-203 as independent variables and MB samples and PB samples as dependent variables.

The following results for the MB samples and PB samples were obtained:

$$Y1 = 0.902 * \Delta Ct(miR203) - 5.871$$

$$Y2 = -0.299 * \Delta Ct(miR203) - 1.264$$

When the ΔCt values for miR-203 were placed into the functions, if $Y1 > Y2$, the unknown sample was classified as a PB sample, and if $Y1 < Y2$, the sample was classified as an MB sample. The accuracy rate of the function for the data subset was 100%.

5. DISCUSSION

Our study used the RT-PCR to examine the expression of different candidate miRNAs markers in 96 body fluid samples from (PB, MB, VS, SA, and SE). These candidate markers included miR-451, miR-144, miR-214, miR-203, and miR-205. Our study exhibited a great abundance of miR-451 expression in menstrual blood and peripheral blood samples compared with other non-blood body fluid samples, and this corresponded with work done in other studies, (10). Still, miR-451 is specifically expressed in mature red blood cells, (39, 40), and variable for erythrocyte differentiation and therefore, its expression levels in peripheral blood (reticulocytes and mature red blood cells) should be relatively constant, (10).

Although not as large as miR-451, MiR-144 is also expressed in both peripheral blood and menstrual blood (39). However, it is nonspecific since expression also occurs in biological samples that are not blood. These data are consistent with the study by Sauer et al(11). Ultimately, the Ct (miR-144) in our study didn't exhibit a significant expression value to distinguish blood and non-blood samples value.

MiR-203 and miR-205 are specific markers for epithelial cells (39). Our results showed that the expression levels of miR-203 and miR-205 in menstrual blood samples and peripheral blood samples were significantly different. However, the expression of these two markers was also found to be high in SA and VS. This means that although some markers, for example miR-205 and miR-203, are saliva-specific markers, specificity in saliva is controversial, as specificity sometimes does not reach a direct determination in the form of simply detecting presence or absence, so miR-205 may not be specific to saliva (24, 40, 41).

The expression levels of miR-214 in menstrual blood samples and peripheral blood samples were significantly different. The high expression of miR-214 in menstrual blood in our study, corresponds with other studies that exhibited significant expression levels of miR-214 in menstrual blood and hence considered it a potential biomarker for menstrual blood, (40).

The stepwise model was applied, as in the study published by The et al. in 2020. In this analysis, where miR-451 was used as the independent variable in the first step and blood and non-blood samples were used as the dependent variable, the functions were

named Z1 and Z2. In the analysis performed with 51 training samples, the distinction between blood and non-blood samples was achieved with 100% accuracy. With 45 test samples, discrimination of the samples was achieved with 95.9% accuracy.

Then, the functions were named Y1 and Y2 in the analysis of all blood samples in which miR-241, miR-203 and miR-205 were used as independent variables and MB and PB were used as dependent variables. Here, MB and PB separation was achieved with 100% accuracy.(Fig 5.1)

In addition, in the function analysis where only 203 was used as an independent variable for the second step, the functions were again named Y1 and Y2. Here, it was determined that the distinction between PB and MB of blood samples could be made with 100% success. (Fig 5.2)




Figure 5.1. Diagram of the two-step analysis method

In the process of distinguishing MB from PB, to increase the accuracy of the results, we used the relative expression data of three kinds of miRNAs to establish a

discriminant function. The essence of the stepwise strategy is to combine multiple specific markers to identify various body fluids step by step and to improve the specificity and accuracy of the body fluid identification rate. The stepwise analysis strategy proposed here used 5 miRNAs and a reference gene, SNORD48. And this analysis can accurately identify samples as blood and non-blood samples, as well as MB and PB samples. The evaluation of the model provided encouraging results.




Figure 5.2. Diagram of the two-step analysis method

Also, strategy technique used by He *et al* 2020 remained valid in this study as well. In this study, it was observed that miR451 is sufficient for the discrimination of blood and non-blood samples, and miR203 is sufficient for the distinction between blood and MB in the second step, in single source samples.

Since the problems arising from possible expression differences can be partially overcome by studying more than one marker in the analysis of routine forensic samples, studying both miR-144 selected as a blood marker and other markers together will contribute to obtaining more reliable results.

As a result, the selected markers and the applied step wise model utilizes the identification potential of miRNAs, and the problem of the relative specificity of miRNAs can also be solved to a certain extent. Thus, this strategy shows strong application potential in forensic science. This set of markers is suitable for determining the type of body fluids in samples taken from a single body fluid source; However, it can be

concluded that it may not be sufficient for mixed samples. Therefore, future researchers should continue to identify more specific markers to distinguish complex samples.



6. CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND LIMITATIONS

6.1 Conclusion

Our study selected five potential miRNAs (miR-451, miR-203, miR-205-5, miR-144, and miR-214) to examine their expression in forensically relevant body fluids and to identify suitable miRNA biomarkers for distinguishing PB from MB. This was achieved when blood was determined as the unknown samples and then whether each blood sample is MB or PB. The accuracy rate of this strategy is 100%. Thus, this model is a reliable and accurate identification strategy for discriminating PB and MB) in forensic science.

6.2 Recommendation and Limitations

On the other hand, we proposed that the combination of two or three miRNAs to distinguish PB and MB as well as other different body fluids individually be developed. This strategy of combination of different body fluid miRNAs could be used as a new method for body fluid identification. Also, since the method used in our study accommodated for single samples, future researchers should focus on using more specific markers to distinguish complex samples. Still, sufficient tools should be used to carry out some tests such as sensitivity tests. The small sample size used in the study and the fact that its cost is much higher than the classical serological test can be considered as its limit.

APPENDIXES

Appendices 1

Ct values of 5 genes in five different body fluids (51+45) samples

		SNORD48	451	144	214	203	205	
Trainin g set_51	PB1	25.42	17.58	21.32	35.9 3	Undetermine d	Undetermined	
	PB2	24.94	15.57	17.40	33.7 3	35.51	36.52	
	PB3	27.48	19.24	22.37	31.3 0	35.19	32.26	
PB_10	PB4	26.97	19.89	25.17	31.5 6	36.47	33.56	
	PB5	26.95	18.19	21.14	38.6 3	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	
	PB6	25.03	17.95	21.26	32.8 3	32.67	31.53	
	PB7	24.28	16.93	20.46	33.4 7	37.31	33.64	
	PB8	25.05	16.93	20.74	34.5 3	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	
	PB9	24.12	17.83	20.83	34.0 2	36.39	36.29	
	PB10	25.88	19.04	23.30	36.9 5	37.43	Undetermine d	
	MB 1	24.03	16.02	25.20	26.6 1	23.47	22.88	
MB_11	MB 2	32.54	18.05	22.34	29.1 0	22.06	21.11	
	MB 3	26.18	18.23	23.69	29.1 0	25.83	23.58	
	MB 4	25.69	17.02	22.17	29.9 2	27.98	26.02	
	MB 5	25.34	15.60	22.29	26.3 2	22.56	22.11	
	MB 6	28.14	16.88	23.74	29.1 6	22.43	20.57	

	MB 7	30.12	18.43	23.57	31.10	22.26	21.83	
	MB 8	28.65	19.38	22.31	24.59	22.54	22.90	
	MB 9	32.36	21.06	29.24	26.18	24.60	23.84	
	MB 10	26.79	18.66	25.47	29.62	22.11	22.87	
	MB 11	29.15	16.11	19.32	28.17	31.19	28.83	
	SA 1	26.15	26.77	32.30	30.28	21.11	20.35	
SA_11	SA2	29.46	30.42	Undetermined	30.01	23.05	22.27	
	SA 3	28.87	31.80	35.91	34.29	25.42	24.45	
	SA 4	27.89	28.16	33.38	31.35	24.44	23.66	
	SA 5	28.06	25.74	30.73	32.47	23.54	23.13	
	SA 6	24.81	28.91	34.14	29.06	23.35	21.39	
	SA 7	23.93	26.78	32.61	29.45	21.06	19.29	
	SA 8	27.66	27.86	33.84	29.63	21.47	20.75	
	SA 9	30.01	31.91	Undetermined	32.36	26.08	24.57	
	SA 10	33.80	30.82	34.69	31.04	24.07	23.81	
	SA 11	29.02	29.04	37.00	26.14	24.65	25.07	
	VS 1	30.05	33.19	36.76	31.74	24.51	24.86	
VS_11	VS 2	28.26	29.43	Undetermined	21.78	21.53	22.37	

	VS 3	26.15	28.55	31.37	33.9 8	23.56	21.84	
	VS 4	27.53	35.44	37.31	31.1 7	20.68	22.54	
	VS 5	29.06	34.71	Undetermine d	31.1 6	22.21	22.83	
	VS 6	27.38	31.41	Undetermine d	31.7 0	20.88	22.32	
	VS 7	27.26	19.20	23.51	28.2 3	20.36	17.40	
	VS 8	37.52	36.53	Undetermine d	31.7 0	25.24	21.27	
	VS 9	27.66	34.49	36.49	32.2 6	23.13	23.98	
	VS 10	26.25	34.80	Undetermine d	31.1 8	21.09	22.27	
	VS 11	35.70	36.54	36.66	29.5 4	27.79	23.71	
	SE 1	25.32	29.62	Undetermine d	32.8 2	27.20	26.46	
SE-7	SE 2	30.35	36.58	Undetermine d	35.4 6	30.45	27.43	
	SE 3	28.75	34.34	37.40	32.7 3	26.73	25.79	
	SE 4	28.38	30.93	34.34	26.2 7			
	SE5	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	35.4 0	26.11 36.63	24.05 34.30	
	SE 6	34.46	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	36.2 6	35.60	36.43	
	SE 7	34.73	33.99	Undetermine d	34.0 9	29.18	28.41	
	SE 8	33.15	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	34.6 5	30.34	27.65	
Test set_45		SNORD48	miR-451	miR-144	miR- 214	miR-203	miR-205	

	PB11	24.28	17.13	18.42	33.67	Undetermined	24	
PB_11	PB12	25.30	18.00	22.80	34.66	Undetermined	35.22	
	PB13	24.75	17.34	21.73	33.12	Undetermined	33.37	
	PB14	25.25	16.73	21.14	33.55	37.82	34.08	
	PB15	25.88	18.22	23.81	33.92	Undetermined	33.77	
	PB16	24.97	15.97	19.81	33.60	Undetermined	33.55	
	PB17	24.69	16.30	20.31	32.89	37.61	34.55	
	PB18	24.49	17.05	21.19	33.43	Undetermined	34.11	
	PB19	25.47	17.04	21.70	35.10	35.50	34.90	
	PB20	27.41	19.70	22.20	33.85	Undetermined	37.18	
	PB21	24.12	18.87	20.14	34.65	37.05	32.52	
	MB11	28.95	19.82	26.42	27.91	27.87	28.33	
MB_10	MB12	33.43	17.54	21.40	28.25	26.23	24.28	
	MB13	27.36	15.69	22.73	28.43	27.32	26.34	
	MB14	32.33	18.98	24.63	30.12	26.83	25.61	
	MB15	31.42	20.06	27.80	29.77	22.83	22.95	
	MB16	26.72	18.12	23.01	28.83	22.28	22.06	
	MB17	26.56	18.50	23.03	27.42	26.57	25.50	

	MB1 8	28.91	18.22	23.50	26.2 3	21.98	22.32	
	MB1 9	26.42	18.96	23.05	31.4 1	21.82	21.92	
	MB2 0	32.47	18.41	24.07	30.2 7	22.39	22.42	
	SA12	23.33	29.11	35.32	32.0 5	22.51	22.09	
SA_9	SA13	30.36	23.54	29.15	29.9 0	22.54	21.22	
	SA14	24.17	24.90	31.25	29.4 7	23.04	21.39	
	SA15	26.03	22.92	31.27	30.5 6	23.45	21.92	
	SA16	26.73	24.99	31.76	30.1 8	22.49	21.96	
	SA17	31.65	28.82	34.28	31.5 4	23.98	23.16	
	SA18	27.54	29.96	36.07	31.8 2	22.12	21.41	
	SA19	26.77	27.16	33.07	34.1 0	26.71	24.90	
	SA20	29.14	30.33	37.35	29.9 2	22.02	22.23	
	VS11	36.30	35.89	37.99	32.7 6	27.18	23.50	
VS_10	VS12	29.08	36.57	37.96	33.3 9	22.69	24.57	
	VS13	26.40	26.29	30.95	28.7 9	21.65	20.31	
	VS14	29.22	Undetermine d	Undetermine d	30.9 2	24.07	24.36	
	VS15	29.12	33.48	36.82	30.0 4	19.50	19.87	
	VS16	31.44	30.33	34.03	31.1 6	21.21	20.79	

	VS17	29.90	36.63	Undetermined	33.15	22.66	24.35	
	VS18	25.68	36.63	36.74	32.56	21.60	23.35	
	VS19	25.39	32.92	37.29	32.71	19.55	20.54	
	VS20	28.31	34.79	Undetermined	31.88	22.82	25.46	
	SE 9	35.01	35.58	Undetermined	34.34	29.75	28.41	
SE_5	SE 10	30.07	34.57	Undetermined	31.44	30.43	25.29	
	SE 11	27.77	32.99	Undetermined	28.09	27.68	25.64	
	SE12	30.36	33.13	35.91	29.65	28.76	25.12	
	SE 13	29.06	33.39	Undetermined	28.37	29.27	26.03	

Appendices: 2

Explore

Tests of normality

	Type	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
miR-451	PB	,143	10	,200*	,974	10	,925
	MB	,150	11	,200*	,913	11	,268
miR-144	PB	,176	10	,200*	,964	10	,828
	MB	,158	11	,200*	,950	11	,645
miR-214	PB	,216	10	,200*	,882	10	,138
	MB	,243	11	,070	,911	11	,248
miR-203	PB	,127	10	,200*	,936	10	,506
	MB	,141	11	,200*	,948	11	,622
miR-205	PB	,186	10	,200*	,899	10	,213
	MB	,133	11	,200*	,953	11	,676

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
miR-451	PB	10	-7,6970	,94393	,29850
	MB	11	-10,3227	2,20042	,66345
miR-144	PB	10	-4,2130	1,63768	,51788
	MB	11	-4,5136	3,46069	1,04344
miR-214	PB	10	8,6830	2,61584	,82720
	MB	11	,0800	3,33834	1,00655
miR-203	PB	10	11,4850	2,60030	,82229
	MB	11	-3,8145	4,25650	1,28338
miR-205	PB	10	10,7680	3,72080	1,17662
	MB	11	-4,7682	3,82529	1,15337

Independent samples tests

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
miR-451	Equal variances assumed	8,961	,007	3,487	19	,002	2,62573	,75304	1,04959	4,20187
	Equal variances not assumed			3,609	13,829	,003	2,62573	,72751	1,06356	4,18790
miR-144	Equal variances assumed	4,637	,044	,250	19	,805	,30064	1,20246	-2,21613	2,81741
	Equal variances not assumed			,258	14,552	,800	,30064	1,16489	-2,18893	2,79020
miR-214	Equal variances assumed	1,079	,312	6,525	19	,000	8,60300	1,31855	5,84325	11,36275
	Equal variances not assumed			6,603	18,628	,000	8,60300	1,30284	5,87243	11,33357
miR-203	Equal variances assumed	3,862	,064	9,811	19	,000	15,29955	1,55946	12,03557	18,56352
	Equal variances not assumed			10,038	16,758	,000	15,29955	1,52421	12,08019	18,51890
miR-205	Equal variances assumed	,005	,944	9,416	19	,000	15,53618	1,64992	12,08286	18,98951
	Equal variances not assumed			9,429	18,900	,000	15,53618	1,64763	12,08641	18,98595

Discriminant

Analysis case processing summary

Unweighted Cases		N	Percent
Valid		51	100,0
	Missing or out-of-range group codes	0	,0
	At least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
Excluded	Both missing or out-of-range group codes and at least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
	Total	0	,0
Total		51	100,0

Group Statistics

Analysis case processing summary

Blood		Mean	Std. Deviation	Valid N (listwise)	
				Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	miR-451	2,6133	3,05296	30	30,000
Blood	miR-451	-9,0724	2,15118	21	21,000
Total	miR-451	-2,1984	6,40268	51	51,000

Tests of Equality of Group Means

	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
miR-451	,177	227,799	1	49	,000

Analysis 1: Summary of Canonical Discriminant Functions

Eigenvalues

Function	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Canonical Correlation
1	4,649 ^a	100,0	100,0	,907

a. First 1 canonical discriminant functions were used in the analysis.

Wilks' Lambda

Test of Function(s)	Wilks' Lambda	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	,177	83,976	1	,000

Standardized

Canonical

Discriminant

Function

Coefficients

	Function
	1
miR-451	1,000

Structure Matrix

	Function
	1
miR-451	1,000

Pooled within-groups correlations between discriminating variables and standardized canonical discriminant functions. Variables ordered by absolute size of correlation within function.

Functions at Group

Centroids

Blood	Function
	1
Non-blood	1,768
Blood	-2,526

Unstandardized canonical discriminant functions evaluated at group means

Classification Statistics

Classification Processing Summary

Processed	51
Missing or out-of-range group codes	0
Excluded At least one missing discriminating variable	0
Used in Output	51

Prior Probabilities for Groups

Blood	Prior	Cases Used in Analysis	
		Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	,500	30	30,000
Blood	,500	21	21,000
Total	1,000	51	51,000

Classification Function Coefficients

	blood	
	Non-blood	Blood
miR-451	,353	-1,225
(Constant)	-1,154	-6,251

Fisher's linear discriminant functions



Casewise statistics

	Case Number	Actual Group	Highest Group					Second Highest Group			Discriminant Scores
			Predicted Group	P(D>d G=g)		P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Group	P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Function 1
				p	df						
Original	1	1	1	,651	1	,999	,205	0	,001	14,756	-2,073
	2	1	1	,760	1	1,000	,094	0	,000	15,907	-2,220
	3	1	1	,464	1	,998	,536	0	,002	12,689	-1,794
	4	1	1	,909	1	1,000	,013	0	,000	17,468	-2,411
	5	1	1	,464	1	,998	,536	0	,002	12,689	-1,794
	6	1	1	,527	1	,999	,401	0	,001	13,405	-1,893
	7	1	1	,726	1	1,000	,122	0	,000	15,558	-2,176
	8	1	1	,307	1	,992	1,045	0	,008	10,705	-1,504
	9	1	1	,412	1	,997	,673	0	,003	12,068	-1,706
	10	1	1	,913	1	1,000	,012	0	,000	19,392	-2,635
	11	1	1	,696	1	,999	,152	0	,001	15,240	-2,136
	12	1	1	,046	1	1,000	3,964	0	,000	39,503	-4,517
	13	1	1	,680	1	,999	,170	0	,001	15,069	-2,114
	14	1	1	,882	1	1,000	,022	0	,000	17,193	-2,378
	15	1	1	,806	1	1,000	,060	0	,000	20,608	-2,771
	16	1	1	,421	1	1,000	,646	0	,000	25,992	-3,330
	17	1	1	,336	1	1,000	,925	0	,000	27,628	-3,488
	18	1	1	,942	1	1,000	,005	0	,000	19,070	-2,599
	19	1	1	,413	1	1,000	,670	0	,000	26,142	-3,345
	20	1	1	,729	1	1,000	,120	0	,000	15,587	-2,180
	21	1	1	,145	1	1,000	2,126	0	,000	33,089	-3,984
	22	0	0	,464	1	,998	,537	1	,002	12,686	1,036

23	0	0	,543	1	,999	,369	1	,001	13,592	1,161
24	0	0	,907	1	1,000	,014	1	,000	19,454	1,885
25	0	0	,389	1	,996	,742	1	,004	11,787	,907
26	0	0	,070	1	,808	3,287	1	,192	6,157	-,045
27	0	0	,585	1	1,000	,298	1	,000	23,432	2,315
28	0	0	,931	1	1,000	,008	1	,000	19,195	1,855
29	0	0	,375	1	,996	,787	1	,004	11,611	,881
30	0	0	,793	1	1,000	,069	1	,000	16,258	1,506
31	0	0	,040	1	,597	4,225	1	,403	5,012	-,287
32	0	0	,341	1	,994	,908	1	,006	11,164	,815
33	0	0	,847	1	1,000	,037	1	,000	20,141	1,962
34	0	0	,596	1	,999	,281	1	,001	14,167	1,238
35	0	0	,938	1	1,000	,006	1	,000	17,774	1,690
36	0	0	,052	1	1,000	3,789	1	,000	38,947	3,715
37	0	0	,264	1	1,000	1,245	1	,000	29,270	2,884
38	0	0	,603	1	1,000	,271	1	,000	23,183	2,289
39	0	0	,185	1	,972	1,753	1	,028	8,822	,444
40	0	0	,121	1	1,000	2,401	1	,000	34,150	3,318
41	0	0	,029	1	1,000	4,759	1	,000	41,937	3,950
42	0	0	,515	1	,998	,425	1	,002	13,269	1,117
43	0	0	,535	1	1,000	,384	1	,000	24,148	2,388
44	0	0	,184	1	1,000	1,766	1	,000	31,622	3,097
45	0	0	,274	1	1,000	1,197	1	,000	29,032	2,862
46	0	0	,981	1	1,000	,001	1	,000	18,242	1,745
47	0	0	,337	1	,994	,922	1	,006	11,115	,808
48	0	0	,282	1	1,000	1,157	1	,000	28,835	2,844
49	0	0	,218	1	,981	1,519	1	,019	9,376	,536
50	0	0	,119	1	1,000	2,424	1	,000	34,236	3,325
51	0	0	,337	1	,994	,922	1	,006	11,115	,808

Cross-validated ^a	1	1	,637	1	,999	,222	0	,001	14,519	
	2	1	,750	1	1,000	,101	0	,000	15,614	
	3	1	,444	1	,998	,586	0	,002	12,574	
	4	1	,905	1	1,000	,014	0	,000	17,116	
	5	1	,444	1	,998	,586	0	,002	12,574	
	6	1	,509	1	,998	,436	0	,002	13,246	
	7	1	,716	1	,999	,133	0	,001	15,280	
	8	1	,283	1	,992	1,155	0	,008	10,727	
	9	1	,390	1	,996	,737	0	,004	11,995	
	10	1	,909	1	1,000	,013	0	,000	19,001	
	11	1	,684	1	,999	,165	0	,001	14,978	
	12	1	,031	1	1,000	4,678	0	,000	42,289	
	13	1	,668	1	,999	,184	0	,001	14,815	
	14	1	,878	1	1,000	,024	0	,000	16,850	
	15	1	,799	1	1,000	,065	0	,000	20,214	
	16	1	,400	1	1,000	,708	0	,000	25,819	
	17	1	,313	1	1,000	1,020	0	,000	27,611	
	18	1	,940	1	1,000	,006	0	,000	18,683	
	19	1	,392	1	1,000	,734	0	,000	25,981	
	20	1	,719	1	,999	,130	0	,001	15,308	
	21	1	,121	1	1,000	2,405	0	,000	33,961	
	22	0	,451	1	,998	,569	1	,002	12,570	
	23	0	,532	1	,999	,390	1	,001	13,419	
	24	0	,905	1	1,000	,014	1	,000	19,062	
	25	0	,374	1	,996	,790	1	,004	11,730	
	26	0	,054	1	,801	3,702	1	,199	6,481	
	27	0	,575	1	1,000	,315	1	,000	23,099	
	28	0	,929	1	1,000	,008	1	,000	18,807	
	29	0	,360	1	,995	,838	1	,005	11,566	

30	0	0	,788	1	1,000	,072	1	,000	15,950	
31	0	0	,027	1	,566	4,863	1	,434	5,391	
32	0	0	,325	1	,994	,971	1	,006	11,150	
33	0	0	,843	1	1,000	,039	1	,000	19,745	
34	0	0	,586	1	,999	,297	1	,001	13,961	
35	0	0	,936	1	1,000	,006	1	,000	17,413	
36	0	0	,038	1	1,000	4,317	1	,000	41,469	
37	0	0	,247	1	1,000	1,341	1	,000	29,447	
38	0	0	,593	1	1,000	,286	1	,000	22,841	
39	0	0	,167	1	,972	1,909	1	,028	8,974	
40	0	0	,103	1	1,000	2,652	1	,000	35,240	
41	0	0	,019	1	1,000	5,547	1	,000	45,670	
42	0	0	,503	1	,998	,449	1	,002	13,115	
43	0	0	,524	1	1,000	,406	1	,000	23,849	
44	0	0	,165	1	1,000	1,923	1	,000	32,177	
45	0	0	,257	1	1,000	1,287	1	,000	29,177	
46	0	0	,981	1	1,000	,001	1	,000	17,869	
47	0	0	,321	1	,994	,986	1	,006	11,104	
48	0	0	,265	1	1,000	1,243	1	,000	28,953	
49	0	0	,200	1	,981	1,645	1	,019	9,489	
50	0	0	,102	1	1,000	2,678	1	,000	35,346	
51	0	0	,321	1	,994	,986	1	,006	11,104	

For the original data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on canonical functions.

For the cross-validated data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on observations.

a. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

Classification Results

		Blood	Predicted Group Membership		Total
			Non-blood	Blood	
Original	Count	Non-blood	30	0	30
		Blood	0	21	21
	%	Non-blood	100,0	,0	100,0
		Blood	,0	100,0	100,0
Cross-validated ^b	Count	Non-blood	30	0	30
		Blood	0	21	21
	%	Non-blood	100,0	,0	100,0
		Blood	,0	100,0	100,0

a. 100,0% of original grouped cases correctly classified.

b. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

c. 100,0% of cross-validated grouped cases correctly classified.

Discriminant

Analysis Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases		N	Percent
Valid		51	100,0
	Missing or out-of-range group codes	0	,0
	At least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
Excluded	Both missing or out-of-range group codes and at least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
	Total	0	,0
Total		51	100,0

Group Statistics

Blood		Mean	Std. Deviation	Valid N (listwise)	
				Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	miR-144	7,1523	3,93517	30	30,000
Blood	miR-144	-4,3705	2,68677	21	21,000
Total	miR-144	2,4076	6,68374	51	51,000

Tests of Equality of Group Means

	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
miR-144	,266	135,424	1	49	,000

Analysis 1: Summary of Canonical Discriminant Functions

Eigenvalues

Function	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Canonical Correlation
1	2,764 ^a	100,0	100,0	,857

a. First 1 canonical discriminant functions were used in the analysis.

Wilks' Lambda

Test of Function(s)	Wilks' Lambda	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	,266	64,283	1	,000

Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficients

	Function
	1
miR-144	1,000

Structure Matrix

	Function
	1
miR-144	1,000

Pooled within-groups correlations between discriminating variables and standardized canonical discriminant functions

Variables ordered by absolute size of correlation within function.

Functions at Group Centroids	
Blood	Function
	1
Non-blood	1,363
Blood	-1,948
Unstandardized canonical discriminant functions evaluated at group means	

Classification Statistics

Classification Processing Summary

Processed	51
Missing or out-of-range group codes	0
Excluded At least one missing discriminating variable	0
Used in Output	51

Prior Probabilities for Groups

Blood	Prior	Cases Used in Analysis	
		Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	,500	30	30,000
Blood	,500	21	21,000
Total	1,000	51	51,000

Classification Function Coefficients

	blood	
	Non-blood	Blood
miR-144	,591	-,361
(Constant)	-2,805	-1,482

Fisher's linear discriminant functions



Casewise Statistics

	Case Number	Actual Group	Highest Group					Second Highest Group			Discriminant Scores
			Predicted Group	P(D>d G=g) p df		P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Group	P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Function 1
Original	1	1	1	,938	1	,995	,006	0	,005	10,454	-1,870
	2	1	1	,832	1	,998	,045	0	,002	12,415	-2,160
	3	1	1	,460	1	,954	,546	0	,046	6,617	-1,209
	4	1	1	,679	1	,999	,171	0	,001	13,873	-2,361
	5	1	1	,863	1	,993	,030	0	,007	9,850	-1,775
	6	1	1	,874	1	,993	,025	0	,007	9,940	-1,789
	7	1	1	,986	1	,996	,000	0	,004	10,848	-1,930
	8	1	1	,756	1	,988	,096	0	,012	9,003	-1,637
	9	1	1	,607	1	,978	,265	0	,022	7,821	-1,433
	10	1	1	,362	1	1,000	,829	0	,000	17,823	-2,858
	11	1	1	,111	1	,552	2,535	0	,448	2,955	-,356
	12	1	1	,094	1	1,000	2,806	0	,000	24,861	-3,623

13	1	1	,589	1	,976	,292	0	,024	7,677	-1,407
14	1	1	,807	1	,991	,060	0	,009	9,404	-1,703
15	1	1	,704	1	,986	,144	0	,014	8,594	-1,568
16	1	1	,993	1	,996	,000	0	,004	11,019	-1,956
17	1	1	,531	1	,999	,392	0	,001	15,502	-2,574
18	1	1	,571	1	,999	,320	0	,001	15,031	-2,514
19	1	1	,719	1	,987	,129	0	,013	8,713	-1,588
20	1	1	,381	1	,930	,768	0	,070	5,927	-1,071
21	1	1	,117	1	1,000	2,461	0	,000	23,812	-3,516
22	0	0	,773	1	,989	,083	1	,011	9,139	1,075
23	0	0	,330	1	1,000	,948	1	,000	18,357	2,337
24	0	0	,974	1	,995	,001	1	,005	10,750	1,331
25	0	0	,633	1	,980	,228	1	,020	8,028	,886
26	0	0	,198	1	,772	1,659	1	,228	4,093	,075
27	0	0	,531	1	,999	,392	1	,001	15,498	1,989
28	0	0	,661	1	,999	,193	1	,001	14,062	1,802
29	0	0	,780	1	,990	,078	1	,010	9,191	1,084
30	0	0	,415	1	1,000	,665	1	,000	17,027	2,179
31	0	1**	,131	1	,617	2,285	0	,383	3,238	-,436

32	0	0	,812	1	,998	,057	1	,002	12,594	1,601
33	0	0	,899	1	,994	,016	1	,006	10,137	1,236
34	0	0	,187	1	1,000	1,738	1	,000	21,430	2,682
35	0	0	,579	1	,974	,308	1	,026	7,594	,808
36	0	0	,450	1	1,000	,570	1	,000	16,533	2,118
37	0	0	,276	1	1,000	1,185	1	,000	19,355	2,452
38	0	0	,116	1	1,000	2,468	1	,000	23,835	2,934
39	0	0	,179	1	,738	1,803	1	,262	3,875	,021
40	0	0	,630	1	,999	,232	1	,001	14,388	1,845
41	0	0	,058	1	1,000	3,594	1	,000	27,111	3,259
42	0	1**	,126	1	,601	2,346	0	,399	3,166	-,416
43	0	0	,031	1	1,000	4,679	1	,000	29,965	3,526
44	0	0	,473	1	1,000	,515	1	,000	16,231	2,081
45	0	0	,667	1	,999	,185	1	,001	13,998	1,794
46	0	0	,732	1	,987	,117	1	,013	8,811	1,021
47	0	1**	,209	1	,790	1,577	0	,210	4,224	-,692
48	0	0	,643	1	,981	,215	1	,019	8,110	,900
49	0	0	,589	1	,976	,293	1	,024	7,674	,822
50	0	0	,931	1	,994	,008	1	,006	10,395	1,276

Cross-validated ^b	51	0	1**	,209	1	,790	1,577	0	,210	4,224	-,692
	1	1	1	,936	1	,994	,007	0	,006	10,242	
	2	1	1	,825	1	,998	,049	0	,002	12,174	
	3	1	1	,440	1	,952	,596	0	,048	6,559	
	4	1	1	,667	1	,999	,185	0	,001	13,640	
	5	1	1	,858	1	,992	,032	0	,008	9,655	
	6	1	1	,869	1	,992	,027	0	,008	9,743	
	7	1	1	,986	1	,995	,000	0	,005	10,627	
	8	1	1	,747	1	,987	,104	0	,013	8,838	
	9	1	1	,592	1	,976	,288	0	,024	7,705	
	10	1	1	,340	1	1,000	,912	0	,000	17,776	
	11	1	1	,089	1	,521	2,895	0	,479	3,061	
	12	1	1	,073	1	1,000	3,224	0	,000	25,912	
	13	1	1	,573	1	,974	,317	0	,026	7,567	
	14	1	1	,799	1	,990	,065	0	,010	9,224	
	15	1	1	,693	1	,984	,156	0	,016	8,445	
	16	1	1	,993	1	,995	,000	0	,005	10,794	
	17	1	1	,513	1	,999	,427	0	,001	15,315	
	18	1	1	,555	1	,999	,348	0	,001	14,826	

19	1	1	,708	1	,985	,140	0	,015	8,558	
20	1	1	,358	1	,926	,844	0	,074	5,903	
21	1	1	,094	1	1,000	2,806	0	,000	24,625	
22	0	0	,768	1	,988	,087	1	,012	8,968	
23	0	0	,314	1	1,000	1,014	1	,000	18,349	
24	0	0	,974	1	,995	,001	1	,005	10,531	
25	0	0	,624	1	,979	,240	1	,021	7,902	
26	0	0	,179	1	,764	1,802	1	,236	4,155	
27	0	0	,520	1	,999	,414	1	,001	15,308	
28	0	0	,652	1	,999	,203	1	,001	13,832	
29	0	0	,775	1	,989	,082	1	,011	9,018	
30	0	0	,400	1	1,000	,707	1	,000	16,917	
31	0	1**	,121	1	,650	2,402	0	,350	3,644	
32	0	0	,808	1	,998	,059	1	,002	12,352	
33	0	0	,896	1	,993	,017	1	,007	9,934	
34	0	0	,169	1	1,000	1,891	1	,000	21,792	
35	0	0	,568	1	,973	,325	1	,027	7,488	
36	0	0	,437	1	1,000	,605	1	,000	16,393	
37	0	0	,259	1	1,000	1,274	1	,000	19,446	

38	0	0	,098	1	1,000	2,730	1	,000	24,632	
39	0	0	,161	1	,729	1,964	1	,271	3,946	
40	0	0	,621	1	,999	,245	1	,001	14,163	
41	0	0	,043	1	1,000	4,077	1	,000	28,738	
42	0	1**	,117	1	,633	2,463	0	,367	3,557	
43	0	0	,020	1	1,000	5,442	1	,000	32,571	
44	0	0	,460	1	1,000	,546	1	,000	16,074	
45	0	0	,659	1	,999	,195	1	,001	13,766	
46	0	0	,725	1	,986	,123	1	,014	8,653	
47	0	1**	,193	1	,830	1,696	0	,170	4,861	
48	0	0	,634	1	,980	,226	1	,020	7,980	
49	0	0	,579	1	,974	,309	1	,026	7,564	
50	0	0	,929	1	,994	,008	1	,006	10,185	
51	0	1**	,193	1	,830	1,696	0	,170	4,861	

For the original data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on canonical functions.

For the cross-validated data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on observations.

**. Misclassified case

b. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

Classification Results^{a,c}

		blood	Predicted Group Membership		Total
			Non-blood	Blood	
Original	Count	Non-blood	26	4	30
		Blood	0	21	21
	%	Non-blood	86,7	13,3	100,0
		Blood	,0	100,0	100,0
Cross-validated ^b	Count	Non-blood	26	4	30
		Blood	0	21	21
	%	Non-blood	86,7	13,3	100,0
		Blood	,0	100,0	100,0

a. 92,2% of original grouped cases correctly classified.

b. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

c. 92,2% of cross-validated grouped cases correctly classified.

Discriminant

Analysis Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases		N	Percent
Valid		51	100,0
	Missing or out-of-range group codes	0	,0
	At least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
Excluded	Both missing or out-of-range group codes and at least one missing discriminating variable	0	,0
	Total	0	,0
Total		51	100,0

Group Statistics

Blood		Mean	Std. Deviation	Valid N (listwise)	
				Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	miR-214	1,5413	4,07500	30	30,000
	miR-203	-4,3087	3,08008	30	30,000
	miR-205	-5,1037	3,43534	30	30,000
Blood	miR-214	4,1767	5,29485	21	21,000
	miR-203	3,4710	8,56780	21	21,000
	miR-205	2,6300	8,76146	21	21,000
Total	miR-214	2,6265	4,74987	51	51,000
	miR-203	-1,1053	7,05818	51	51,000
	miR-205	-1,9192	7,23371	51	51,000

Tests of Equality of Group Means

	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
miR-214	,924	4,033	1	49	,050
miR-203	,700	21,015	1	49	,000
miR-205	,718	19,282	1	49	,000

Analysis 1

Summary of Canonical Discriminant Functions

Eigenvalues

Function	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Canonical Correlation
1	,599 ^a	100,0	100,0	,612

a. First 1 canonical discriminant functions were used in the analysis.

Wilks' Lambda

Test of Function(s)	Wilks' Lambda	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	,625	22,062	4	,000

Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficients

	Function
	1
miR-214	-,725
miR-203	1,501
miR-205	-,346

Structure Matrix

	Function
	1
miR-203	,846
miR-205	,811
miR-214	,371

Pooled within-groups

correlations between

discriminating

variables and

standardized

canonical

discriminant functions

Variables ordered by

absolute size of

correlation within

function.

Classification Statistics

Classification Processing Summary

Processed	51
Missing or out-of-range group codes	0
Excluded At least one missing discriminating variable	0
Used in Output	51

Prior Probabilities for Groups

Blood	Prior	Cases Used in Analysis	
		Unweighted	Weighted
Non-blood	,500	30	30,000
Blood	,500	21	21,000
Total	1,000	51	51,000

Classification Function Coefficients

	blood	
	Non-blood	Blood
miR-214	,403	,161
miR-203	,550	,938
miR-205	-1,013	-1,099
(Constant)	-2,983	-3,106

Fisher's linear discriminant functions

Casewise Statistics

	Case Number	Actual Group	Highest Group					Second Highest Group			Discriminant Scores
			Predicted Group	P(D>d G=g)		P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Group	P(G=g D=d)	Squared Mahalanobis Distance to Centroid	Function 1
				P	df						
Original	1	1	1	,209	1	,958	1,578	0	,042	7,827	2,163
	2	1	1	,328	1	,937	,956	0	,063	6,346	1,884
	3	1	1	,190	1	,961	1,718	0	,039	8,135	2,217
	4	1	1	,567	1	,888	,328	0	,112	4,470	1,480
	5	1	1	,663	1	,865	,190	0	,135	3,908	1,342
	6	1	1	,190	1	,961	1,716	0	,039	8,131	2,217
	7	1	1	,130	1	,971	2,298	0	,029	9,347	2,423
	8	1	1	,374	1	,928	,792	0	,072	5,911	1,796
	9	1	1	,625	1	,874	,239	0	,126	4,121	1,395
	10	1	1	,030	1	,989	4,715	0	,011	13,785	3,078
	11	1	1	,811	1	,694	,057	0	,306	1,698	,668
	12	1	0**	,378	1	,927	,778	1	,073	5,874	-1,517
	13	1	1	,680	1	,635	,170	0	,365	1,276	,495
	14	1	1	,889	1	,803	,020	0	,197	2,826	1,046
	15	1	0**	,445	1	,502	,584	1	,498	,604	,130

16	1	0**	,836	1	,819	,043	1	,181	3,055	-,841
17	1	0**	,295	1	,943	1,096	1	,057	6,700	-1,682
18	1	1	,446	1	,504	,580	0	,496	,608	,145
19	1	0**	,871	1	,719	,026	1	,281	1,903	-,473
20	1	0**	,690	1	,639	,159	1	,361	1,305	-,235
21	1	1	,687	1	,859	,162	0	,141	3,781	1,310
22	0	0	,658	1	,867	,196	1	,133	3,938	-1,078
23	0	0	,506	1	,901	,442	1	,099	4,869	-1,300
24	0	0	,746	1	,844	,105	1	,156	3,481	-,959
25	0	0	,965	1	,778	,002	1	,222	2,515	-,679
26	0	0	,733	1	,847	,116	1	,153	3,545	-,976
27	0	0	,585	1	,586	,298	1	,414	,992	-,089
28	0	0	,714	1	,852	,134	1	,148	3,638	-1,001
29	0	0	,471	1	,909	,520	1	,091	5,121	-1,356
30	0	0	,763	1	,839	,091	1	,161	3,395	-,936
31	0	0	,324	1	,938	,975	1	,062	6,395	-1,622
32	0	0	,693	1	,641	,156	1	,359	1,315	-,240
33	0	1**	,522	1	,550	,410	0	,450	,813	,267
34	0	1**	,645	1	,617	,213	0	,383	1,167	,446
35	0	0	,718	1	,851	,130	1	,149	3,619	-,996
36	0	0	,486	1	,906	,486	1	,094	5,010	-1,332
37	0	0	,990	1	,763	,000	1	,237	2,336	-,622
38	0	0	,576	1	,886	,313	1	,114	4,413	-1,194

Cross- validate d ^b	39	0	0	,283	1	,945	1,154	1	,055	6,841	-1,709
	40	0	0	,610	1	,878	,260	1	,122	4,210	-1,145
	41	0	0	,551	1	,892	,355	1	,108	4,569	-1,231
	42	0	0	,833	1	,703	,044	1	,297	1,771	-,424
	43	0	0	,472	1	,520	,517	1	,480	,677	,084
	44	0	0	,674	1	,632	,177	1	,368	1,255	-,214
	45	0	0	,932	1	,789	,007	1	,211	2,646	-,720
	46	0	1**	,482	1	,526	,495	0	,474	,702	,203
	47	0	1**	,511	1	,544	,431	0	,456	,783	,250
	48	0	1**	,938	1	,744	,006	0	,256	2,144	,830
	49	0	0	,497	1	,903	,461	1	,097	4,929	-1,313
	50	0	0	,950	1	,749	,004	1	,251	2,188	-,573
	51	0	1**	,748	1	,667	,103	0	,333	1,489	,586
	1	1	1	,231	4	,955	5,599	0	,045	11,709	
	2	1	1	,517	4	,931	3,249	0	,069	8,468	
	3	1	1	,390	4	,959	4,117	0	,041	10,436	
	4	1	1	,158	4	,863	6,607	0	,137	10,296	
	5	1	1	,931	4	,858	,853	0	,142	4,448	
	6	1	1	,089	4	,958	8,082	0	,042	14,348	
	7	1	1	,199	4	,971	6,000	0	,029	13,021	
	8	1	1	,475	4	,921	3,520	0	,079	8,437	
	9	1	1	,019	4	,822	11,825	0	,178	14,890	
	10	1	1	,001	4	,993	17,745	0	,007	27,607	

11	1	1	,754	4	,669	1,902	0	,331	3,308	
12	1	0**	,787	4	,965	1,721	1	,035	8,363	
13	1	1	,618	4	,596	2,650	0	,404	3,430	
14	1	1	,625	4	,780	2,608	0	,220	5,138	
15	1	0**	,868	4	,524	1,258	1	,476	1,449	
16	1	0**	,951	4	,861	,700	1	,139	4,344	
17	1	0**	,766	4	,976	1,835	1	,024	9,215	
18	1	0**	,267	4	,595	5,209	1	,405	5,977	
19	1	0**	,348	4	,821	4,455	1	,179	7,502	
20	1	0**	,490	4	,722	3,421	1	,278	5,332	
21	1	1	,586	4	,843	2,833	0	,157	6,192	
22	0	0	,894	4	,860	1,102	1	,140	4,734	
23	0	0	,905	4	,897	1,031	1	,103	5,364	
24	0	0	,820	4	,835	1,535	1	,165	4,777	
25	0	0	,992	4	,773	,265	1	,227	2,710	
26	0	0	,910	4	,840	,997	1	,160	4,316	
27	0	0	,691	4	,559	2,244	1	,441	2,718	
28	0	0	,661	4	,841	2,409	1	,159	5,745	
29	0	0	,923	4	,905	,913	1	,095	5,425	
30	0	0	,936	4	,832	,818	1	,168	4,021	
31	0	0	,618	4	,935	2,650	1	,065	7,995	
32	0	0	,338	4	,591	4,540	1	,409	5,276	
33	0	1**	,030	4	,717	10,742	0	,283	12,604	

34	0	1**	,035	4	,782	10,337	0	,218	12,891	
35	0	0	,268	4	,832	5,198	1	,168	8,397	
36	0	0	,380	4	,898	4,197	1	,102	8,558	
37	0	0	,432	4	,735	3,810	1	,265	5,846	
38	0	0	,454	4	,876	3,662	1	,124	7,580	
39	0	0	,109	4	,944	7,566	1	,056	13,201	
40	0	0	,723	4	,870	2,068	1	,130	5,879	
41	0	0	,584	4	,884	2,846	1	,116	6,908	
42	0	0	,085	4	,629	8,178	1	,371	9,231	
43	0	1**	,730	4	,505	2,032	0	,495	2,075	
44	0	0	,416	4	,588	3,925	1	,412	4,633	
45	0	0	,931	4	,780	,856	1	,220	3,389	
46	0	1**	,658	4	,563	2,424	0	,437	2,932	
47	0	1**	,336	4	,618	4,555	0	,382	5,515	
48	0	1**	,748	4	,794	1,935	0	,206	4,631	
49	0	0	,380	4	,896	4,198	1	,104	8,498	
50	0	0	,734	4	,731	2,012	1	,269	4,013	
51	0	1**	,082	4	,804	8,282	0	,196	11,102	

For the original data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on canonical functions.

For the cross-validated data, squared Mahalanobis distance is based on observations.

** . Misclassified case

b. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

Classification Results^{a, c}

		blood	Predicted Group Membership		Total
			Non-blood	Blood	
Original	Count	Non-blood	24	6	30
		Blood	6	15	21
	%	Non-blood	80,0	20,0	100,0
		Blood	28,6	71,4	100,0
Cross-validated ^b	Count	Non-blood	23	7	30
		Blood	7	14	21
	%	Non-blood	76,7	23,3	100,0
		Blood	33,3	66,7	100,0

a. 76,5% of original grouped cases correctly classified.

b. Cross validation is done only for those cases in the analysis. In cross validation, each case is classified by the functions derived from all cases other than that case.

c. 72,5% of cross-validated grouped cases correctly classified.

REFERENCE

1. **He H, Ji A, Zhao Y, Han N, Hu S, Kong Q, et al.** A stepwise strategy to distinguish menstrual blood from peripheral blood by Fisher's discriminant function. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. **2020**;134(3):845-51.
2. **An JH, Shin K-J, Yang WI, Lee HY.** Body fluid identification in forensics. **2012**.
3. **Li Z, Bai P, Peng D, Long B, Zhang L, Liang W.** Screening and confirmation of microRNA markers for distinguishing between menstrual and peripheral blood. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*. **2015**;5:e353-e5.
4. **Sijen T.** Molecular approaches for forensic cell type identification: on mRNA, miRNA, DNA methylation and microbial markers. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2015**;18:21-32.
5. **Salamonsen LA, Woolley DE.** Menstruation: induction by matrix metalloproteinases and inflammatory cells. *Journal of reproductive immunology*. **1999**;44(1-2):1-27.
6. **Valentine A.** Differentiation Between Peripheral Blood and Menstrual Blood using miRNA markers. **2021**.
7. **Kaur H, Verma DP, Bhatia D.** Detection and comparison of Normal and Menstrual blood samples found at crime scene. *International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences*. **2018**;7(06):2233-6.
8. **Holtkötter H, Dierig L, Schürenkamp M, Sibbing U, Pfeiffer H, Vennemann M.** Validation of an immunochromatographic D-dimer test to presumptively identify menstrual fluid in forensic exhibits. *International journal of legal medicine*. **2015**;129:37-41.
9. **Wang H.** Evaluation of D-dimer in postmortem blood using the SERATEC PMB Test: Boston University; **2019**.
10. **Hanson EK, Lubenow H, Ballantyne J.** Identification of forensically relevant body fluids using a panel of differentially expressed microRNAs. *Analytical biochemistry*. **2009**;387(2):303-14.
11. **Sauer E, Reinke A-K, Courts C.** Differentiation of five body fluids from forensic samples by expression analysis of four microRNAs using quantitative PCR. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2016**;22:89-99.
12. **Mazzella WD, Buzzini P.** Raman spectroscopy of blue gel pen inks. *Forensic Science International*. **2005**;152(2-3):241-7.
13. **Mazzella WD, Khanmy-Vital A.** A study to investigate the evidential value of blue gel pen inks. *Journal of forensic sciences*. **2003**;48(2):JFS2002275.
14. **Ali EM, Edwards HG, Hargreaves MD, Scowen IJ.** In-situ detection of drugs-of-abuse on clothing using confocal Raman microscopy. *Analytica chimica acta*. **2008**;615(1):63-72.
15. **Virkler K, Lednev IK.** Raman spectroscopy offers great potential for the nondestructive confirmatory identification of body fluids. *Forensic Science International*. **2008**;181(1-3):e1-e5.
16. **Zhao C, Zhao M, Zhu Y, Zhang L, Zheng Z, Wang Q, et al.** The persistence and stability of miRNA in bloodstained samples under different environmental conditions. *Forensic Science International*. **2021**;318:110594.

17. **Virkler K, Lednev IK.** Analysis of body fluids for forensic purposes: from laboratory testing to non-destructive rapid confirmatory identification at a crime scene. *Forensic science international*. **2009**;188(1-3):1-17.
18. **Ji S, Liu Y, Yan L, Zhang Y, Li Y, Zhu Q, et al.** DIA-based analysis of the menstrual blood proteome identifies association between CXCL5 and IL1RN and endometriosis. *Journal of Proteomics*. **2023**;104995.
19. **Bauer M, Patzelt D.** Identification of menstrual blood by real time RT-PCR: technical improvements and the practical value of negative test results. *Forensic science international*. **2008**;174(1):55-9.
20. **Jakubowska J, Maciejewska A, Bielawski KP, Pawlowski R.** mRNA heptaplex protocol for distinguishing between menstrual and peripheral blood. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2014**;13:53-60.
21. **Arceo J.** Metabolomic Analysis of Complex Biological Samples Via Capillary Zone Electrophoresis-electrospray Ionization-mass Spectrometry and MALDI Imaging Mass Spectrometry: University of Notre Dame; **2017**.
22. **Li R.** Forensic serology. *Forensic chemistry handbook*. **2012**:269.
23. Rocchi A, Chiti E, Maiese A, Turillazzi E, Spinetti I. MicroRNAs: an update of applications in forensic science. *Diagnostics*. 2020;11(1):32.
24. **Silva SS, Lopes C, Teixeira A, De Sousa MC, Medeiros R.** Forensic miRNA: potential biomarker for body fluids? *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2015**;14:1-10.
25. **Alshehhi S, Haddrill PR.** Estimating time since deposition using quantification of RNA degradation in body fluid-specific markers. *Forensic science international*. **2019**;298:58-63.
26. **Salgarello M, Visconti G, Barone-Adesi L.** Interlocking circumareolar suture with undyed polyamide thread: a personal experience. *Aesthetic plastic surgery*. **2013**;37:1061-2.
27. **Noren Hooten N, Abdelmohsen K, Gorospe M, Ejiogu N, Zonderman AB, Evans MK.** microRNA expression patterns reveal differential expression of target genes with age. *PloS one*. **2010**;5(5):e10724.
28. **Carthew RW, Sontheimer EJ.** Origins and mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs. *Cell*. **2009**;136(4):642-55.
29. **Thomas JP, Ölbei M, Brooks-Warburton J, Korcsmaros T, Modos D.** Analysing MiRNA-target gene networks in inflammatory bowel disease and other complex diseases using transcriptomic data. *Genes*. **2022**;13(2):370.
30. **O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C.** Overview of microRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. *Frontiers in endocrinology*. **2018**;9:402.
31. **Jonas S, Izaurralde E.** Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-mediated gene silencing. *Nature reviews genetics*. **2015**;16(7):421-33.
32. **Ebert MS, Sharp PA.** Roles for microRNAs in conferring robustness to biological processes. *Cell*. **2012**;149(3):515-24.
33. **Faridani OR, Abdullayev I, Hagemann-Jensen M, Schell JP, Lanner F, Sandberg R.** Single-cell sequencing of the small-RNA transcriptome. *Nature biotechnology*. 2016;34(12):1264-6.
34. **Donati S, Ciuffi S, Brandi ML.** Human circulating miRNAs real-time qRT-PCR-based analysis: an overview of endogenous reference genes used for data normalization. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. **2019**;20(18):4353.

35. **Mayes C, Houston R, Seashols-Williams S, LaRue B, Hughes-Stamm S.** The stability and persistence of blood and semen mRNA and miRNA targets for body fluid identification in environmentally challenged and laundered samples. *Legal Medicine*. **2019**;38:45-50.
36. **Sirker M, Fimmers R, Schneider P, Gomes I.** Evaluating the forensic application of 19 target microRNAs as biomarkers in body fluid and tissue identification. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2017**;27:41-9.
37. **Courts C, Madea B.** Micro-RNA—a potential for forensic science? *Forensic science international*. **2010**;203(1-3):106-11.
38. **Al-Mawlah YH, Jebor MA, Abdulla AA.** Identification of suitable reference gene for normalization of microRNAs in forensically relevant body fluids by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*. **2021**;51:12-6.
39. **Liu Y, He H, Xiao Z-X, Ji A, Ye J, Sun Q, et al.** A systematic analysis of miRNA markers and classification algorithms for forensic body fluid identification. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*. **2021**;22(4):bbaa324.
40. **He H, Han N, Ji C, Zhao Y, Hu S, Kong Q, et al.** Identification of five types of forensic body fluids based on stepwise discriminant analysis. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2020**;48:102337.
41. **Wang Z, Luo H, Pan X, Liao M, Hou Y.** A model for data analysis of microRNA expression in forensic body fluid identification. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*. **2012**;6(3):419-23.